

# $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -Homogeneous Graphs Via Ordered Pencils

Italo J. Dejter  
University of Puerto Rico  
Rio Piedras, PR 00931-3355  
ijdejter@uprrp.edu

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of graphs and let  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$  be obtained from  $\mathcal{C}$  by arc anchorage. A concept of  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -homogeneous graphs that include the  $\mathcal{C}$ -ultrahomogeneous graphs is given, with the explicit construction of  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -homogeneous graphs that are not  $\mathcal{C}$ -ultrahomogeneous, via ordered pencils of binary projective spaces.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite, undirected, simple graph, and let  $W_1, W_2$  be vertex subsets of  $G$ . In Gardiner's paper [4],  $G$  is *homogeneous* (resp. *ultrahomogeneous*) if whenever the induced subgraphs  $G[W_1], G[W_2]$  are isomorphic, some isomorphism (resp. every isomorphism) of  $G[W_1]$  onto  $G[W_2]$  extends to an automorphism of  $G$ . Moreover, Gardiner gave an explicit characterization of the ultrahomogeneous graphs, using previous work of Sheehan [9]. In [8], Ronse showed that every homogeneous graph is ultrahomogeneous. See also [6, 1].

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of graphs. In [7], Isaksen et al. declare a graph  $G$  to be  $\mathcal{C}$ -ultrahomogeneous if every isomorphism between induced subgraphs belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$  extends to an automorphism of  $G$ . We could find no reference to any corresponding notion of  $\mathcal{C}$ -homogeneous graphs comparable with the  $\mathcal{C}$ -ultrahomogeneous graphs of [7], in the sense of Ronse's cited result [8]. In the present paper, the following notion of  $\mathcal{C}$ -homogeneity between isomorphic induced components in  $\mathcal{C}$  anchored at arcs is considered.

**Definition 1.1** *A graph  $G$  is  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -homogeneous if, for any two isomorphic induced subgraphs  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  in  $G$  and any arcs  $v_1w_1$  of  $X_1$  and  $v_2w_2$  of  $X_2$ , there exists an isomorphism  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  extending to an automorphism of  $G$  and such that  $f(v_1) = v_2$  and  $f(w_1) = w_2$ , that is  $f(v_1w_1) = v_2w_2$ .*

If, in Definition 1.1,  $X_1 = X_2$ , then  $X_1$  (and hence any graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  induced in  $G$ ) must be 1-arc transitive [5]. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the minimal class containing two nonisomorphic graphs  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , then a  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -homogeneous graph is said to be  $\{\vec{X}_1, \vec{X}_2\}$ -homogeneous. Clearly, any  $\mathcal{C}$ -ultrahomogeneous graph is  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -homogeneous. In particular, the  $\{K_4, K_{2,2,2}\}$ -ultrahomogeneous graph  $G_3^1$  of [3] is  $\{\vec{K}_4, \vec{K}_{2,2,2}\}$ -homogeneous.

Let  $(r, \sigma) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$  with  $r > 2$  and  $\sigma \in (0, r - 1)$ . Let  $t = 2^{\sigma+1} - 1$  and  $s = 2^{r-\sigma-1}$ . Let  $K_{2s}$  be the complete graph on  $2s$  vertices and  $T_{ts,t}$  be the  $t$ -partite (Turán) graph on  $s$  vertices per part (a total of  $ts$  vertices). Continuing the cited work of [3], a construction below make us conjecture that there exists a connected  $\{\vec{T}_{ts,t}, \vec{K}_{2s}\}$ -homogeneous graph  $G_r^\sigma$  that is not  $\{\vec{T}_{ts,t}, \vec{K}_{2s}\}$ -ultrahomogeneous for  $r > 3$ . In this paper, this conjecture is established for  $r \leq 8$  and  $\rho = r - \sigma \leq 5$ .

The work of [7] dealt with the following 4 classes  $\mathcal{C}$ : **(A)** the complete graphs; **(B)** their complements (the empty graphs); **(C)** the disjoint unions of complete graphs; **(D)** their complements (the complete multipartite graphs).

Our present attempt seems to be the first one in the literature for a more heterogeneous class  $\mathcal{C}'$  contained in (or even coinciding with) the union of the collections **(A)** and **(D)**, since  $K_{2s}$  is in **(A)** and  $K_{ts,t}$  is in **(D)**. In fact, each proposed graph  $G_r^\sigma$  will coincide with some connected  $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -homogeneous graph  $G$  expressible in a unique way, both as an edge-disjoint union  $U_1$  of copies of  $X_1 = K_{2s}$  and as an edge union  $U_2$  of copies of  $X_2 = T_{ts,t}$ , and with:

- (a) the class  $\mathcal{C}'$  minimal for the property of containing any copies of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ;
- (b) no more copies of  $X_i$  in  $G$  than in  $U_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (c) no two copies of  $X_i$  in  $G$  sharing more than one vertex, for  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (d) each edge of  $G$  shared by just one copy of  $X_1$  and one of  $X_2$ , so that  $G$  is *edge-fastening*.

Clearly, such a  $G$  is regular. Moreover, the number  $m_i(G, v) = m_i(G)$  of copies of  $X_i$  incident to each vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is independent of  $v$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . This  $G$  will be said to be a *homogeneous*  $\{\vec{X}_1\}_{\ell_1}^{m_1}\{\vec{X}_2\}_{\ell_2}^{m_2}$ -graph, where  $\ell_i =$  number of copies of  $X_i$  in  $G$  and  $m_i = m_i(G)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . We also say that  $G$  is  $\{\vec{X}_1, \vec{X}_2\}$ -homogeneous. If  $G$  is  $\{X_1, X_2\}$ -ultrahomogeneous, then  $G$  is said to be an *ultrahomogeneous*  $\{X_1\}_{\ell_1}^{m_1}\{X_2\}_{\ell_2}^{m_2}$ -graph. It is not difficult to prove that the line graph of the  $n$ -cube is an ultrahomogeneous  $\{K_n\}_{2^n}^2\{K_{2,2}\}_{n(n-1)2^{n-3}}^{n-1}$ -graph, for  $3 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We could extend our definition above to say that the line graph of the 3-cube, the cuboctahedron, is an ultrahomogeneous  $\{K_3\}_8^2\{C_4\}_6^2\{C_6\}_4^2$ -graph.

If any of the graphs  $G_r^\sigma$  is an  $\{\vec{X}_1\}_{\ell_1}^{m_1}\{\vec{X}_2\}_{\ell_2}^{m_2}$ -graph, where  $\min\{m_1, m_2\} > 2$ , then it is non-line-graphical: it cannot be a line graph of any other graph.

We pass to present the notions needed in order to define the graphs  $G_r^\sigma$  and establish their claimed properties.

## 2 Graphs of ordered pencils

We can identify the binary projective  $(r-1)$ -space  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  with the nonzero part of the field  $\mathbf{F}_2^r$  of  $2^r$  elements. This way, if  $j \in [0, r-2] \cap \mathbf{Z}$ , then each  $j$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  equals the intersection of  $\mathbf{F}_2^r \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$  with a corresponding  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -linear  $j$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2^r$ . In order to interpret this and keep up notation below, the empty set of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  will be said to be a  $(-j)$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , for every  $0 \leq j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Let  $n = 2^r - 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Each one of the  $n$  points  $a_0a_1 \dots a_{r-1} \neq \bar{0}$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  is denoted by the integer it represents as a binary  $r$ -tuple with an hexadecimal read-out and the reading starting at the first nonzero  $a_i$ , ( $i \in [0, r) \cap \mathbf{Z}$ ), up to  $a_{r-1}$ . This way,  $\mathbf{Z} \cap (0, 2^r)$  means  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  endowed with the natural ordering.

Now, the projective hyperplane  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-2}$  is identified with the  $(r-2)$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  represented by  $\mathbf{Z} \cap (0, 2^{r-1})$  and called the *initial copy* of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-2}$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ . Its points can be considered as the *directions of parallelism* of the affine space  $A(r-1)$  obtained from  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1} \setminus \mathbf{P}_2^{r-2}$  by puncturing the first entry, ( $a_0 = 1$ ), of its points,  $a_0a_1 \dots a_{r-1}$ .

Each one of the  $2^{r-2} - 1$   $(r-3)$ -subspaces  $S$  of the initial copy of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-2}$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  yields two  $(r-2)$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ : (A) an  $(r-2)$ -subspace formed by the points of  $S$  and the *complements* in  $n$  of the points  $i \in \mathbf{P}_2^{r-2} \setminus S$ , namely the points  $n-i$ ; (B) an  $(r-2)$ -subspace formed by the point  $n = 2^r - 1$ , the points  $i$  of  $S$  and their complements  $n-i$  in  $n$ .

This representation of subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , without parentheses and commas, is extensible to affine subspaces and their complementary subspaces in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , considered as their *subspaces at infinity*. Similarly, any other subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  of dimension  $> 0$  is presentable via an initial copy of a lower-dimensional subspace.

**Examples.**  $\mathbf{P}_2^2$  is formed by the nonzero binary 3-tuples 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111, that we denote respectively by means of their hexadecimal integer forms: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Now,  $\mathbf{P}_2^2 \subset \mathbf{P}_2^3$  is represented by  $\{1, \dots, 7\}$  immersed into  $\{1, \dots, f = 15\}$  by sending  $1 := 001$  onto  $1 := 0001$ ;  $2 := 010$  onto  $2 := 0010$ , etc., that is: by prefixing a zero to each 3-tuple. Now, puncturing the first entry from the 4-tuples of  $\mathbf{P}_2^3$  (and expressing the punctured entry between parentheses) yields: (0)001 as the direction of parallelism of the affine lines of  $A(3)$  with point sets  $\{(1)000, (1)001\}$ ,  $\{(1)010, (1)011\}$ ,  $\{(1)100, (1)101\}$ ,  $\{(1)110, (1)111\}$ ; these affine lines are denoted 89,  $ab, cd, ef$ , respectively. On the other hand,  $\mathbf{P}_2^1$  is formed by the points 1, 2, 3 and the sole line 123. Also,  $\mathbf{P}_2^1$  determines the planes  $123ba98 = 123(f-4)(f-5)(f-6)(f-7)$  and  $123fedc = 123f(f-1)(f-2)(f-3)$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^3$ , respectively.

Let  $A_0$  be a  $\sigma$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ . The set of  $(\sigma+1)$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  that contain  $A_0$  is the  $(r, \sigma)$ -pencil of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  through  $A_0$ . A linearly ordered presentation of this is an  $(r, \sigma)$ -ordered pencil of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  through  $A_0$ . Notice that there are  $(2^{r-\sigma} - 1)!$   $(r, \sigma)$ -ordered pencils of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  through  $A_0$ , since there are  $2^{r-\sigma} - 1$   $(\sigma+1)$ -subspaces containing  $A_0$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ . An  $(r, \sigma)$ -ordered pencil  $v$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  through  $A_0$  has the form  $v = (A_0 \cup A_1, \dots, A_0 \cup A_{m_1})$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_{m_1}$  are the nontrivial cosets of  $\mathbf{F}_2^r$  mod its subspace  $A_0 \cup \{\bar{0}\}$ ,

with  $m_1 = 2^{r-\sigma} - 1$ . A shorthand for this will be used throughout: we just write  $v = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m_1})$  and consider  $A_1, \dots, A_{m_1}$  as the *non-initial* entries of  $v$ .

We define  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  as the graph whose vertices are the  $(r, \sigma)$ -ordered pencils  $v = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m_1}) = (A_0(v), A_1(v), \dots, A_{m_1}(v))$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , with an edge precisely between each two vertices  $v = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m_1})$  and  $v' = (A'_0, A'_1, \dots, A'_{m_1})$  that satisfy:

1.  $A_0 \cap A'_0$  is a  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ ;
2. for each  $1 \leq i \leq m_1$ ,  $A_i \cap A'_i$  is a nontrivial coset of  $\mathbf{F}_2^r \bmod (A_0 \cap A'_0) \cup \{\bar{0}\}$ ;
3.  $U(v, v') = \cup_{i=1}^{m_1} (A_i \cap A'_i)$  is an  $(r - 2)$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ .

Here, item **3.** is needed only if  $(r, \sigma) \neq (3, 1)$ , for it is implied by **1.-2.** if  $(r, \sigma) = (3, 1)$ .

**Examples.** Let  $v_r^\sigma$  be the lexicographically smallest  $(r, \sigma)$ -ordered pencil in  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  and let  $u_r^\sigma$  be its lexicographically smallest neighbor in  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$ . For example:

$$\begin{aligned} v_3^1 &= (1, 23, 45, 67), & u_3^1 &= (2, 13, 46, 57), & (U(v_3^1, u_3^1) &= 347); \\ v_4^1 &= (1, 23, 45, 67, 89, ab, cd, ef), & u_4^1 &= (2, 13, 46, 57, 8a, 9b, ce, df), & (U(v_4^1, u_4^1) &= 3479bcf); \\ v_4^2 &= (123, 4567, 89ab, cdef), & u_4^2 &= (145, 2367, 89cd, abef), & (U(v_4^2, u_4^2) &= 16789ef). \end{aligned}$$

We define  $G_r^\sigma$  to be the component of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  containing  $v_r^\sigma$ .

**Remarks.** (a) For each  $(\sigma + 1)$ -subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  and  $i \in [1, m_1] \cap \mathbf{Z}$ , there is a copy of  $T_{ts,t}$  induced in  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  by all the vertices  $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m_1})$  of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  for which  $A_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -subspace of  $W$  and  $A_i = W \setminus A_0$ . Let us denote this copy of  $T_{ts,t}$  by  $[(W)_i]_r^\sigma$ . For example, the 4-vertex parts of the lexicographically first and last of the 7 copies of  $T_{12,3}$  in  $G_4^1$  incident to  $v_4^1$ , namely  $[(\mathbf{P}_2^1)_1]_4^1 = [1231]_4^1$  and  $[1ef_7]_4^1$ , are (columnwise):

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} [1231]_4^1 \\ \dots \\ [1ef_7]_4^1 \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{ccc} (1, 23, 45, 67, 89, ab, cd, ef) & (2, 13, 46, 57, 8a, 9b, ce, df) & (3, 12, 47, 56, 8b, 9a, cf, de) \\ (1, 23, 45, 67, ab, 89, ef, cd) & (2, 13, 46, 57, 9b, 8a, df, ce) & (3, 12, 47, 56, 9a, 8b, de, cf) \\ (1, 23, 67, 45, 89, ab, ef, cd) & (2, 13, 57, 46, 8a, 9b, df, ce) & (3, 12, 56, 47, 8b, 9a, de, cf) \\ (1, 23, 67, 45, ab, 89, cd, ef) & (2, 13, 57, 46, 9b, 8a, ce, df) & (3, 12, 56, 47, 9a, 8b, cf, de) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (1, 23, 45, 67, 89, ab, cd, ef) & (e, 2c, 4a, 68, 79, 5b, 3d, 1f) & (f, 2d, 4b, 69, 78, 5a, 3c, 1e) \\ (1, 23, ab, 89, 67, 45, cd, ef) & (e, 2c, 5b, 79, 68, 4a, 3d, 1f) & (f, 2d, 5a, 78, 69, 4b, 3c, 1e) \\ (1, cd, 45, 89, 67, ab, 23, ef) & (e, 3d, 4a, 79, 68, 5b, 2c, 1f) & (f, 3c, 4b, 78, 69, 5a, 2d, 1e) \\ (1, cd, ab, 67, 89, 45, 23, ef) & (e, 3d, 5b, 68, 79, 4a, 2c, 1f) & (f, 3c, 5a, 69, 78, 4b, 2d, 1e) \end{array} \right|$$

The first and last of the 14 2-vertex parts of the 3 (columnwise) copies of  $T_{14,7}$  in  $G_4^2$  incident to  $v_4^2$ , namely  $[(\mathbf{P}_2^2)_1]_4^2 = [12345671]_4^2$ ,  $[12389ab_2]_4^2$  and  $[123cdef_3]_4^2$ , are:

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} [12345671]_4^2 & [12389ab_2]_4^2 & [123cdef_3]_4^2 \\ (123, 4567, 89ab, cdef) & (123, 4567, 89ab, cdef) & (123, 4567, 89ab, cdef) \\ (123, 4567, cdef, 89ab) & (123, cdef, 89ab, 4567) & (123, 89ab, 4567, cdef) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (356, 1247, 8bde, 9acf) & (39a, 47de, 128b, 56cf) & (3de, 479a, 568b, 12cf) \\ (356, 1247, 9acf, 8bde) & (39a, 56cf, 128b, 47de) & (3de, 568b, 479a, 12cf) \end{array} \right|$$

(b) For each  $(r - 1, \sigma - 1)$ -ordered pencil  $U = (U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{m_1})$  of an  $(r - 2)$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , there is a copy of  $K_{2s}$  in  $G_r^\sigma$  (where  $U_0 = \emptyset$  in case  $\sigma = 1$ ) induced by the vertices  $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m_1})$  of  $G_r^\sigma$  having  $A_i \supset U_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m_1$ . Let us denote this copy of  $K_{2s}$  by  $[U]_r^\sigma = [U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{m_1}]_r^\sigma$ . For example, the induced copies of  $K_8$  in  $G_4^1$  incident to  $v_4^1$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} [\emptyset, 2, 4, 6, 8, a, c, e]_4^1 &= [2468ace]_4^1, & [\emptyset, 3, 4, 7, 8, b, c, f]_4^1 &= [3478bcf]_4^1, \\ [\emptyset, 2, 4, 6, 9, b, d, f]_4^1 &= [2469bdf]_4^1, & [\emptyset, 3, 4, 7, 9, a, d, e]_4^1 &= [3479ade]_4^1, \\ [\emptyset, 2, 5, 7, 8, a, d, f]_4^1 &= [2578adf]_4^1, & [\emptyset, 3, 5, 6, 8, b, d, e]_4^1 &= [3568bde]_4^1, \\ [\emptyset, 2, 5, 7, 9, b, c, e]_4^1 &= [2579bce]_4^1, & [\emptyset, 3, 5, 6, 9, a, c, f]_4^1 &= [3569acf]_4^1, \end{aligned}$$

where we may use, for  $\sigma = 1$ , the shorter notation shown to the right, without the symbol  $\emptyset$  and the commas. The induced copies of  $K_4$  in  $G_4^2$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} [1, 45, 89, cd]_4^2, & [1, 67, 89, ef]_4^2, & [2, 57, 8a, df]_4^2, & [2, 46, 8a, ce]_4^2, & [3, 47, 8b, cf]_4^2, & [3, 56, 8b, de]_4^2, \\ [1, 45, ab, ef]_4^2, & [1, 67, ab, cd]_4^2, & [2, 57, 9b, ce]_4^2, & [2, 46, 9b, df]_4^2, & [3, 47, 9a, de]_4^2, & [3, 56, 9a, cf]_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

The vertices of each such copy  $[U]_r^\sigma$  of  $K_{2s}$  can be displayed as a product of arrays of subsets of the form  $\{A_{i,j}\} = \{X_{i,j}\} \times \{Y_{i,j}\} = \{X_{i,j} \cup Y_{i,j}\}$ . For example,  $[U]_r^\sigma = [\emptyset, 2, 4, 6, 8, a, c, e]_4^1$  can be displayed as:

$$\{X_{i,j} \cup Y_{i,j}\} =$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1,23,45,67,89,ab,cd,ef) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (1,3,5,7,9,b,d,f) \\ (3,12,47,56,8b,9a,cf,de) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (3,1,7,5,b,9,f,d) \\ (5,27,14,36,8d,af,9c,be) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (5,7,1,3,d,f,9,b) \\ (7,25,34,16,8f,ad,bc,9e) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (7,5,3,1,f,d,b,9) \\ (9,2b,4d,6f,18,3a,5c,7e) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (9,b,d,f,1,3,5,7) \\ (b,29,4f,6d,38,1a,7c,5e) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (b,9,f,d,3,1,7,5) \\ (d,2f,49,6b,58,7a,1c,3e) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (d,f,9,b,5,7,1,3) \\ (f,2d,4b,69,78,5a,3c,1e) & (\emptyset,2,4,6,8,a,c,e) & (f,e,b,9,7,5,3,1) \end{array} = \{X_{i,j}\} \times \{Y_{i,j}\}$$

where  $\{X_{i,j}\}$  has constant columns and each one of its rows as  $U = (\emptyset, 2, 4, 6, 8, a, c, e)$ , and where  $Y_{i,j} = A_j \setminus U_j$ . The sets  $Y_{i,j}$ , for each fixed  $i \in [2, m_1] \cap \mathbf{Z}$ , form a permutation of the top sets  $Y_{1,j}$ . With the numbers  $j \in [0, m_1] \cap \mathbf{Z}$  representing the top sets  $Y_{1,j}$ , the sets  $Y_{i,j}$  are seen to form a Latin square via induction steps on  $\rho = r - \sigma$ , indicated by arrows here:

$$J \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} J & J + 2^\rho \\ J + 2^\rho & J \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} (1,2) \\ (2,1) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} (1,2,3,4) \\ (2,1,4,3) \\ (3,4,1,2) \\ (4,3,2,1) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8) \\ (2,1,4,3,6,5,8,7) \\ (3,4,1,2,7,8,5,6) \\ (4,3,2,1,8,7,5,4) \\ (4,5,7,8,1,2,3,4) \\ (6,5,8,7,2,1,4,3) \\ (7,8,5,6,3,4,1,2) \\ (8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1) \end{array}$$

where  $J$  is the  $2^\rho \times 2^\rho$ -square matrix these  $j$ 's form. For example, the copy  $[1, 45, 89, cd]_4^2$  of  $K_4$  in  $G_4^2$  is expressible as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (123,4567,89ab,cdef) & (1,45,89,cd) & (23,67,ab,ef) \\ (167,2345,89ef,abcd) & (1,45,89,cd) & (67,23,ef,ab) \\ (1ab,45ef,6789,23cd) & (1,45,89,cd) & (ab,ef,23,67) \\ (1ef,45ab,2389,67cd) & (1,45,89,cd) & (ef,ab,67,23) \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} (1,45,89,cd) \\ (1,45,89,cd) \\ (1,45,89,cd) \\ (1,45,89,cd) \end{array} \times \begin{array}{l} (23,67,ab,ef) \\ (67,23,ef,ab) \\ (ab,ef,23,67) \\ (ef,ab,67,23) \end{array}$$

(c) We want to prove that  $G_r^\sigma$  is a homogeneous  $\{\vec{T}_{ts,t}\}_{\ell_1}^{m_1} \{\vec{K}_{2s}\}_{\ell_2}^{m_2}$ -graph with  $m_1 = 2^\rho - 1 = 2^{r-\sigma} - 1$ ,  $m_2 = 2s(2^\sigma - 1)$ ,  $\ell_1 = \frac{m_1}{st} |V(G_r^\sigma)|$ ,  $\ell_2 = (2^\sigma - 1) |V(G_r^\sigma)|$  and  $|V(G_r^\sigma)| = \binom{r}{\sigma}_2 \prod_{i=1}^\rho (2^{i-1}(2^i - 1)) = \prod_{i=1}^\rho (2^{i-1}(2^{i+\sigma} - 1)) = O(2^{(r-1)^2})$ , where the Gaussian binomial coefficient  $\binom{r}{\sigma}_2 = \prod_{i=1}^\rho \frac{2^{i+\sigma}-1}{2^i-1}$  is the number of different  $\sigma$ -subspaces  $A_0$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ . We start by establishing some properties of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$ . The initial case is the main result of [3], stating that the graph  $\mathcal{G}_3^1 = G_3^1$  is a connected ultrahomogeneous 12-regular  $\{K_4\}_{42}^4 \{K_{2,2,2}\}_{21}^3$ -graph of order 42 and diameter 3. As expressed in Section 1, we prove our claims for small values of  $r$  and  $\rho = r - \sigma$ , ( $r \leq 9$ ,  $\rho \leq 5$ ). The general case would follow from Conjecture 5.2.

**Theorem 2.1**  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  has order  $\binom{r}{\sigma}_2 m_1!$  and regular degree  $m_1 s(t-1)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  is uniquely representable as an edge-disjoint union of  $m_1 |V(\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma)| s^{-1} t^{-1}$  (resp.  $(2^\sigma - 1) |V(\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma)|$ ) copies of  $T_{ts,t}$  (resp.  $K_{2s}$ ) and has exactly  $m_1$  (resp.  $m_2$ ) copies of  $T_{ts,t}$  (resp.  $K_{2s}$ ) incident at each vertex, with no two such copies sharing more than one vertex and each edge of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  in exactly one copy of  $T_{ts,t}$  (resp.  $K_{2s}$ ).

*Proof.* The number of  $\sigma$ -subspaces  $F'$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  is  $\#F' = \binom{r}{\sigma}_2$ . For each such  $F'$  taken as initial entry  $A_0$  of some vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$ , there are  $m_1$  classes mod  $F' \cup \bar{0}$  permuted and distributed from left to right into the remaining positions  $A_i$  of  $v$ . Thus,  $|\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma| = (\#F') m_1!$

Each  $v$  of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  is the sole intersection vertex of exactly  $m_1$  copies of  $T_{ts,t}$ . Since the degree of  $T_{ts,t}$  is  $s(t-1)$ , then the degree of  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  is  $m_1 s(t-1)$ . The edge numbers of  $T_{ts,t}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  are respectively  $s^2 t(t-1)/2$  and  $m_1 s(t-1) |V(\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma)|/2$ , so that  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  is the edge-disjoint union of  $m_1 |V(\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma)| s^{-1} t^{-1}$  copies of  $T_{ts,t}$ . No other copies of  $T_{ts,t}$  exist in  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$ .  $\square$

### 3 On the automorphism group $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$ of $G_r^\sigma$

The neighbors of  $v_r^\sigma$  in  $G_r^\sigma$  induce a subgraph  $N_{G_r^\sigma}(v_r^\sigma)$  of  $G_r^\sigma$ . Consider the vertex set  $W_r^\sigma = \{w \in V(G_r^\sigma) : A_0(w) = A_0(v_r^\sigma)\}$ . For every  $w \in W_r^\sigma$ , consider the automorphism  $h_w \in \mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$  that takes  $v_r^\sigma$  onto  $w$ , given by the permutation of the non-initial entries of  $w$  with respect to those of  $v_r^\sigma$ . To characterize  $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$ , it suffices to determine its subgroup  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma = \mathcal{A}(N_{G_r^\sigma}(v_r^\sigma))$  and the automorphisms  $h_w$ . This is treated below from Subsection 4.1 on, where  $\{h_w : w \in W_r^\sigma\}$  is endowed with the structure of a group  $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ . But

$|\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)| = |\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma| \cdot |\mathcal{H}_\rho|$  and each  $w \in V(G_r^\sigma)$  will have  $\mathcal{A}(N_{G_r^\sigma}(w)) = h_w^{-1} \mathcal{N}_r^\sigma h_w$ , (composition from left to right), so  $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$  will be a semidirect product  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma \rtimes_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\rho$  with  $\lambda : \mathcal{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma)$  given by  $\lambda(h_w)$  sending  $k \in \mathcal{N}_r^\sigma$  onto  $h_w^{-1} k h_w$ , that is to say:  $\lambda(h_w) = (k \mapsto h_w^{-1} k h_w : k \in \mathcal{N}_r^\sigma)$ .

A set of generators for  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma$  will be given by means of products of transpositions of the form  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are affine  $\sigma$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , each  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  having a common  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace  $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}$  at infinity. We define the *affine difference*  $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$  of such a pair  $\alpha, \beta$  as the affine  $\sigma$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  composed by the third points in the lines determined by each pair of points  $b \in \alpha$  and  $c \in \beta$ . Here, it suffices to take such third points for some fixed  $b \in \alpha$  and variable  $c \in \beta$ . Each transposition  $(\alpha, \beta)$  will be denoted  $[\theta_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot \chi_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha, \beta)]$ , stressing the subspace at infinity and the affine difference associated to  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $0 < h \in \mathbf{Z}$ . A product of transpositions  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq h$ , with a common  $\theta_{\alpha_i, \beta_i} = \theta$  and a common  $\chi_{\alpha_i, \beta_i} = \chi$  is indicated  $[\theta \cdot \chi \prod_{i=1}^h (\alpha_i, \beta_i)]$ . This could simply be written  $\prod_{i=1}^h (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ , that is as a permutation of the affine  $\sigma$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  (with no reference to lines at infinity or affine differences). The points of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  which form part of  $\theta$  and  $\chi$  but are outside those pairs of parentheses, namely  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), \dots, (\alpha_h, \beta_h)$ , are clearly fixed points of the resulting permutation  $\phi$ . A permutation  $\psi$  of affine  $\sigma$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  is obtained from such a  $\phi$  by replacing each of the numbers in its entries by the floor of its  $(\frac{1}{2^\sigma})$ -th part, and if some of the resulting transpositions coincide, setting only one of these repeated transpositions in  $\psi$ . Then, the composition  $\tau = \phi \cdot \psi = \psi \cdot \phi$  is an automorphism of  $G_r^\sigma$  that we express by writing  $\phi = \phi^\tau$  and  $\psi = \psi^\tau$ , namely  $\tau = \phi^\tau \cdot \psi^\tau = \psi^\tau \cdot \phi^\tau$ . In this context, an empty pair of parentheses, e.g.  $()$ , stands for the identity permutation. It can be seen that at least for  $r \leq 8$ , a set of generators  $\tau = \phi^\tau \cdot \psi^\tau$  of  $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$  is formed by those  $\tau$ 's in the following items:

**(A)** Given a point  $\pi \in \mathbf{P}_2^\rho = \mathbf{P}_2^{r-\sigma}$  and an  $(r-2)$ -subspace  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  containing  $\{\pi\} \cup \mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$ , let  $\phi^\tau = g(\pi, \alpha)$  be the product of the transpositions of pairs of affine  $(\sigma - 1)$ -spaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  with a common  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace at infinity in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  and a corresponding common affine difference containing  $\pi$  and contained in  $\alpha \setminus \mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$ . Let  $g'(\pi, \alpha)$  be the  $\psi^\tau$  associated to  $\phi^\tau$ . Some examples of triples  $(\tau = g(\pi, \alpha), g'(\pi, \alpha), \pi, \alpha)$  are, (in hexadecimal notation or its continuation in the English alphabet from  $10 = a$  to  $15 = f$  up to  $31 = v$ ), as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l}
G_3^1: \quad ([\emptyset.2(4,6)(5,7)].1(2,3), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=123); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.3(4,7)(5,6)].1(2,3), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=123); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.6(2,4)(3,5)].3(1,2), \quad \pi=6, \quad \alpha=167); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.1(2,3)(6,7)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=145).
\end{array}
\quad \left| \quad
\begin{array}{l}
G_4^1: \quad ([\emptyset.2(8,a)(9,b)(c,e)(d,f)].1(4,5)(6,7), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.4(8,c)(9,d)(a,e)(b,f)].2(4,6)(5,7), \quad \pi=4, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.2(4,6)(5,7)(8,a)(9,b)].1(2,3)(4,5), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=123cdef); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.c(4,8)(5,9)(6,a)(7,b)].6(2,4)(3,5), \quad \pi=c, \quad \alpha=123cdef); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.5(8,d)(9,c)(a,f)(b,e)].2(4,6)(5,7); \quad \pi=5, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.1(2,3)(6,7)(a,b)(e,f)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=14589cd); \\
\quad ([\emptyset.6(2,4)(3,5)(a,c)(b,d)].3(1,2)(5,6); \quad \pi=6, \quad \alpha=16789ef).
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
G_4^2: \quad ([1.45(89,cd)(ab,ef)][2.46(8a,ce)(9b,df)][3.47(8b,cf)(9a,de)].1(2,3), \quad \pi=4, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([1.cd(45,89)(67,ab)][2.ce(46,8a)(57,9b)][3.cf(47,8b)(56,9a)].3(1,2), \quad \pi=c, \quad \alpha=123cdef); \\
G_5^2: \quad ([1.op(89,gh)(ab,ij)(cd,kl)(ef,mn)][2.oq(8a,gi)(9b,hj)(ce,km)(df,ln)] \\
\quad [3.or(8b,gj)(9a,hi)(cf,kn)(de,lm)].6(2,4)(3,5), \quad \pi=o, \quad \alpha=1234567opqrstuv); \\
G_5^3: \quad ([123.89ab(ghij,opqr)(klmn,stuw)][145.89cd(ghkl,opst)(ijmn,qruv)] \\
\quad [167.89ef(ghmn,opuv)(ijkl,qrst)][246.8ace(gikm,oqsu)(hjln,prtv)] \\
\quad [257.8adf(giln,oqtv)(hjkm,prsu)][347.8bcf(gjkn,orsv)(hilm,pqtu)] \\
\quad [356.8bde(gjkn,ortu)(hilm,pqsv)].1(2,3), \quad \pi=8, \quad \alpha=123456789abcdef).
\end{array}$$

**(B)** Given a  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace  $\pi$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$  and an  $(r-2)$ -subspace  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  containing  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$ , let  $\phi^\tau = h(\pi, \alpha)$  be the product of the transpositions of the pairs of affine  $\sigma$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  not contained in  $\alpha \setminus \mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$ , with  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace at infinity  $\pi$  and corresponding affine difference  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1} \setminus \pi$ . In each case,  $\psi^\tau = ()$ . Some examples of  $(\tau, \pi, \alpha)$  here are:

$$\begin{array}{l}
G_4^2: \quad ([1.23(89,ab)(cd,ef)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([1.23(45,67)(cd,ef)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=12389ab); \\
\quad ([1.23(45,67)(89,ab)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=123cdef); \\
\quad ([2.13(8a,9b)(ce,df)].(), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([2.13(46,57)(ce,df)].(), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=12389ab); \\
\quad ([2.13(46,57)(8a,9b)].(), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=123cdef); \\
\quad ([3.12(8b,9a)(cf,de)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=1234567); \\
\quad ([3.12(47,56)(cf,de)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=12389ab); \\
\quad ([3.12(47,56)(8b,9a)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=123cdef);
\end{array}
\quad \left| \quad
\begin{array}{l}
G_5^2: \quad ([2.13(gi,hj)(km,ln)(oq,pr)(su,tv)].(), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=123456789abcdef); \\
\quad ([2.13(8a,9b)(ce,df)(pr,oq)(su,tv)].(), \quad \pi=2, \quad \alpha=1234567ghijklmn); \\
\quad ([1.23(89,ab)(cd,ef)(op,qr)(st,uv)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=1234567ghijklmn); \\
\quad ([1.23(gh,ij)(kl,mn)(op,qr)(st,uv)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=123456789abcdef); \\
\quad ([3.12(8b,9a)(cf,de)(or,pq)(sv,tu)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=1234567ghijklmn); \\
\quad ([3.12(gj,hi)(kn,ln)(or,pq)(st,tu)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=123456789abcdef); \\
G_5^3: \quad ([347.1256(gjkn,hilm)(pqsv,ortu)].(), \quad \pi=347, \quad \alpha=123456789abcdef).
\end{array}$$

**(C)** Given a  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace  $\pi$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$  and an  $(r-2)$ -subspace  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  with  $\pi \in \alpha \cap \mathbf{P}_2^{\sigma-1}$ , let  $\phi^\tau$  be the product of the transpositions of pairs of affine  $\sigma$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  not contained in  $\alpha$ , with  $(\sigma - 1)$ -subspace

at infinity contained in  $\alpha$  and affine difference contained in  $\alpha$  and containing  $\pi$ . Again,  $\psi^\tau = ()$ . Some examples of  $(\tau, \pi, \alpha)$  here are:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
G_4^2: & ([4.15(26,37)][5.14(27,36)][8.19(2a,3b)][9.18(2b,3a)][c.1d(2e,3f)][d.1c(2f,3e)].(), \quad \pi=1, \quad \alpha=1459cd); \\
& ([4.37(15,26)][7.34(16,25)][8.3b(19,2a)][b.38(1a,29)][c.3f(1d,2e)][f.3c(1e,2d)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=3478bcf); \\
G_5^2: & ([4.37(15,26)][7.34(16,25)][8.3b(19,2a)][b.38(1a,29)][c.3f(1d,2e)][f.3c(1e,2d)] \\
& [g.3j(1h,2i)][j.3g(1i,2h)][k.3n(1l,2m)][n.3k(1m,2l)][o.3r(1p,2q)][r.3o(1a,2p)] \\
& [v.3s(1u,2t)][s.3v(1t,2u)].(), \quad \pi=3, \quad \alpha=3478bcfgjknorsv); \\
G_5^3: & ([189.67ef(23ab,45cd)][1ef.6789(23cd,45ab)][1gh.67mn(23ij,45kl)] \\
& [1mn.67gh(23kl,45ij)][1op.67uv(23qr,45st)][1uv.67op(23st,45qr)].(), \quad \pi=167, \quad \alpha=16789efghmnopuv); \\
& ([189.23ab(45cd,67ef)][1ab.2389(45ef,67cd)]1kl.23mn(45gh,67ij)] \\
& [1mn.23kl(45ij,67gh)][1st.23uv(45op,67qr)][1uv.23st(45qr,67op)].(), \quad \pi=123, \quad \alpha=12389abklmnstuv).
\end{array}$$

From the generators of  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma$  presented in items (A)-(C) above, the following was established for  $r \leq 8$  via the software Magma and conjectured in general for  $r > 8$ .

**Theorem 3.1** For  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\rho = r - \sigma > 1$ , (so that  $r > 2$ ), let

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= 2^{\sigma+1} - 1 + (\rho - 2)(2^\sigma + 1) + \max(\rho - 3, 0), \\
B &= \prod_{i=1}^{\rho} (2^i - 1) \text{ and} \\
C &= (2^\sigma - 1)!
\end{aligned}$$

Then, at least for  $r \leq 8$ , the cardinality of  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma$  is  $2^A BC$ , where the last term in the sum expressing  $A$  differs from  $\rho - 3$  only if  $\rho = 2$ .  $\square$

## 4 On order and diameter of $G_r^\sigma$

In order to establish the properties of  $G_r^\sigma$  claimed in Remark (c) of Section 2, we need to estimate its order and diameter, for each  $(r, \sigma) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$  with  $r > 3$  and  $\sigma \in (0, r - 1)$ . The diameter of  $G_r^\sigma$  is realized by the distance from  $v_r^\sigma = (A_0(v_r^\sigma), A_1(v_r^\sigma), \dots, A_{m_1}(v_r^\sigma))$  to some vertex  $w \in W_r^\sigma \setminus \{v_r^\sigma\}$ , with  $W_r^\sigma$  as in Section 3, above.

### 4.1 Auxiliary graph $H_\rho$

In the square graph  $(G_r^\sigma)^2$ , consider the graph  $H$  induced by  $W_r^\sigma$ . Clearly,  $v_r^\sigma \in V(H)$ . Moreover,  $H$  depends only on  $\rho = r - \sigma$ , so we write  $H = H_\rho$ . Furthermore,

$$Diameter(G_r^\sigma) \leq 2 \times Diameter(H_\rho).$$

Consider the case  $(r, \sigma) = (3, 1)$ . We write  $B_1 = 23, B_2 = 45, B_3 = 67$ , independently of the entries that these pairs may occupy in a vertex of  $H_2 (= K_{3,3})$ . We assign to each vertex  $v$  of  $H_2$  the permutation that maps the subindices  $i$  of the entries  $A_i$  of  $v$ , ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), into the subindices  $j$  of the pairs  $B_j$  correspondingly filling those entries  $A_i$ . This yields the following bijection from  $V(H_2) = W_3^1$  onto the group  $K = S_3$  of permutations of the point set of the projective line  $\mathbf{P}_2^1$ , (with permutation expressed in cycle notation):

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
(1,23,45,67) & \rightarrow & 123 & (1,23,67,45) & \rightarrow & 1(23) \\
(1,45,23,67) & \rightarrow & 3(12) & (1,45,67,23) & \rightarrow & (123) \\
(1,67,23,45) & \rightarrow & (132) & (1,67,45,23) & \rightarrow & 2(13)
\end{array}$$

where each permutation on the right side of ‘ $\rightarrow$ ’ is presented with its nontrivial cycles written, as usual, between parentheses and with the fixed points expressed in front and out of any pair of parentheses, for convenience of reference.

More generally, there is a bijection from  $V(H_\rho)$  onto a group  $\mathcal{H}_\rho$  of permutations of the point set of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ , that we will call  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations, form an auxiliary notation for the vertices of  $H_\rho$ . Thus, we denote  $V(H_\rho) = \mathcal{H}_\rho$ . For example,  $v_r^\sigma \in V(H_\rho)$  is now invested as the identity permutation  $I_\rho = 123 \dots 2^\rho$ , with fixed-point set  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1} = 123 \dots 2^\rho$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{H}_\rho$  is formed by permutations of the

non-initial entries of ordered pencils that are vertices of  $G_r^\sigma$ , as were the permutations  $\psi^\tau$  in Section 3, but in each of the present cases the corresponding  $\phi^\tau$  composing with  $\psi^\tau$  an automorphism  $\tau$  of  $G_r^\sigma$  is the identity  $(\cdot)$ , since this  $\tau$  takes  $v_r^\sigma$  into some vertex  $w \in W_r^\sigma$ .

An ascending sequence  $V(H_2) \subset V(H_3) \subset \dots \subset V(H_\rho) \subset \dots$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation groups is generated via the embeddings  $\Psi_\rho : V(H_{\rho-1}) \rightarrow V(H_\rho)$ , ( $\rho > 2$ ), defined by  $\Psi_\rho(\phi)$  equal to the product of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2} \subset \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  times the permutation obtained from  $\phi$  by replacing each of its symbols  $i$  by the new symbol  $m_1 - i$ , with  $m_1$  becoming a fixed point of  $\Psi_\rho(\phi)$ . Let us call this construction of  $\Psi_\rho(\phi)$  out of  $\phi$  the *doubling* of  $\phi$ . For example,  $\Psi_3 : V(H_2) \rightarrow V(H_3)$  maps the elements of  $V(H_2)$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} 123 & \rightarrow & 7123654 = 1234567 \\ (123) & \rightarrow & 7(123)(654) = 7(123)(465) \\ (132) & \rightarrow & 7(132)(645) = 7(132)(456) \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{lcl} 1(23) & \rightarrow & 71(23)6(54) = 167(23)(45) \\ 3(12) & \rightarrow & 73(12)4(65) = 347(12)(56) \\ 2(13) & \rightarrow & 72(13)5(64) = 257(13)(46) \end{array} \right.$$

where each resulting  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation in  $V(H_3)$  is rewritten to the right, by expressing, from left to right and lexicographically, first the fixed points and then the cycles.

The 3  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations in  $V(H_3)$  listed rightmost in the exemplified assignment above are of the form  $abc(de)(fg)$ , where  $ade$  and  $afg$  are lines of  $\mathbf{P}_2^3$ , namely: 123 and 145, for 167(23)(45); 312 and 356, for 347(12)(56); 213 and 246, for 257(13)(46).

A point of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  playing the role of  $a$  in a product  $\Pi$  of  $2^{\rho-2}$  disjoint transpositions, as in the just cited rightmost  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations, is called the *pivot* of  $\Pi$ . For each point  $a$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^2$ , there are 3  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations in  $V(H_3)$  having  $a$  as its pivot. For example, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations in  $V(H_3)$  having pivot 1 are: 123(45)(67), 145(23)(67) and 167(23)(45).

For each  $(\rho - 2)$ -subspace  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  and each point  $a \in Q$ , a  $(Q, a)$ -*transposition* is defined as a permutation  $(bc)$  such that there is a line  $abc \subset \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  with  $bc \cap Q = \emptyset$ . For each pair  $(Q, a)$  formed by a permutation  $Q$  and a point  $a$  as above, there are exactly  $2^{\rho-2}$   $(Q, a)$ -transpositions. Their product is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation in  $V(H_\rho)$  that we call the  $(Q, a)$ -*permutation*,  $p(Q, a)$ , with  $Q$  as its fixed-point set and  $a$  as its pivot.

The  $(Q, a)$ -permutations  $p(Q, a)$  in  $V(H_\rho)$  act as a set of generators for the group  $V(H_\rho)$ . In fact, all elements of  $V(H_\rho)$  can be obtained from the  $(Q, a)$ -permutations by means of reiterated multiplications.

## 4.2 A vertex $J_\rho$ of $H_\rho$ at maximum distance from $I_\rho$

For  $\rho > 1$ , a particular element  $J_\rho \in V(H_\rho) \setminus V(H_{\rho-1}^1)$  at maximum distance from  $I_\rho$ , (see Theorem 4.1 below), is obtained as a product  $I_\rho = p_\rho q_\rho$  with:

(A)  $p_\rho = p(Q, 2^{\rho-1})$ , where  $Q$  is the  $(\rho - 2)$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  containing  $2^{\rho-1}$  as well as all of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-3}$ . For example

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 &= 2(13), & p_3 &= 415(26)(37), & p_4 &= 81239ab(4c)(5d)(6e)(7f), \\ p_5 &= g1234567hijklmn(8o)(9p)(aq)(br)(cs)(dt)(eu)(fv), & p_6 &= \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where hexadecimal notation, or its continuation in the English alphabet, is used.

(B)  $q_\rho$  defined inductively by  $q_2 = 3(12)$  and  $q_{\rho+1} = \Psi_\rho(p_\rho q_\rho)$ , for  $\rho > 1$ , where  $\Psi_\rho$  is as in Subsection 4.1.

Initial examples of  $J_\rho$ , with products indicated by dots '.', are

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= 2(13) \cdot 3(12) = (132); \\ J_3 &= 415(26)(37) \cdot 7(132)(645) = (1372456); \\ J_4 &= 81239ab(4c)(5d)(6e)(7f) \cdot f(1372456)(ec8dba9) = (137f248d6c5ba9e); \\ J_5 &= g1234567hijklmn(8o)(9p)(aq)(br)(cs)(dt)(eu)(fv) \cdot \\ &\quad (137f248d6c5ba9e)(usogtrnipjqklmh) = \\ &= (137fv248gt6codraklmhu)(5bnipes)(9jq). \end{aligned}$$

## 4.3 Types of vertices of $H_\rho$ and type-distance relation

A way of expressing any permutation  $v = J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5, \dots$  in Subsection 4.2 is by means of the accompaniment of an underlying permutation  $u$ :

$$\begin{array}{l|l|l|l} v=(132) & v=(1372456) & v=(137f248d6c5ba9e) & v=(137fv248gt6codraklmhu)(5bnipes)(9jq) \\ u=(213) & u=(2456137) & u=(248d6c5ba9e137f) & u=(248gt6codraklmhu137fv)(es5bnip)(q9j) \end{array}$$

where each symbol  $b_i$  in a cycle of  $u$  and located under a symbol  $a_i$  of a cycle  $(a_0a_1 \dots a_{x-1})$  of  $v$  determines a line  $a_i b_i a_{i+1}$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$ , (with  $i+1$  taken mod  $x$ ). Each  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation  $v$ , like for example any of  $J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5$ , will likewise be written with the accompaniment of a second similar expression  $u$  along a level underlying that of  $v$ . In this context, we say that:

(**a**)  $b_i$  is a *difference symbol*, (or *ds*), of  $v$ ; (**b**)  $(b_0b_1 \dots b_{x-1})$  is the *ds-companion cycle* of  $(a_0a_1 \dots a_{x-1})$ ; and (**c**)  $u$  is the *ds-level* of  $v$ .

Each cycle  $(a_0a_1 \dots a_{x-1})$  of  $J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5$  was expressed by means of a pair of cycles,  $(a_0a_1 \dots a_{x-1})$  and  $(b_0b_1 \dots b_{x-1})$ , one on top of the other, in  $v$  and  $u$  respectively. Notice that these two cycles differ by just a shift of  $(b_0b_1 \dots b_{x-1})$  with respect to  $(a_0a_1 \dots a_{x-1})$  in the amount of, say,  $y$  positions. The values of  $y$  are, for our 4 examples:  $J_2 : y = 1$ ;  $J_3 : y = 4$ ;  $J_4 : y = 12$ ;  $J_5 : y = 16, 3, 1$ , (one for each cycle of  $J_5$ ).

For  $\rho > 1$ , we define the *type*  $\tau_\rho(J_\rho)$  of  $J_\rho$  as an expression showing the (parenthesized) lengths of the cycles composing  $J_\rho$ , each one subindexed with its corresponding  $y$ . In the case of our 4 examples, we have:

$$\tau_2(J_2) = (3_1), \tau_3(J_3) = (7_4), \tau_4(J_4) = (15_{12}) \text{ and } \tau_5(J_5) = (21_{16})(7_3)(3_1).$$

Let us see how the *ds* notation given above can be extended to the elements  $p(Q, a)$  of  $V(H_\rho)$ , as in Subsection 4.1. We express the two-level expressions  $\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ u \end{smallmatrix}$  for the  $(\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}, 1)$ -permutations  $v = p(\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}, 1)$  as follows, for  $\rho = 3, 4$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} v = 123(45)(67) \\ u = 123(11)(11) \end{array} \quad \Bigg| \quad \begin{array}{l} v = 1234567(89)(ab)(cd)(ef) \\ u = 1234567(11)(11)(11)(11) \end{array}$$

where: (**a**) each fixed point of  $v$  is repeated in  $u$  under its appearance in  $v$ ; (**b**) *ds-companion*  $x$ -cycles are well-defined cycles only if  $x > 2$  and (**c**) we say that each transposition  $(a_0a_1)$  in  $v$  has *degenerate ds-companion cycle*  $(bb)$ , (in fact, not a well-defined cycle, just notation), where  $ba_0a_1$  is a line of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$ . We also say that the pivot  $b$  *dominates* each  $(a_0a_1)$  in  $v$ .

We define now the *types* of the  $(\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}, 1)$ -permutations  $p(\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}, 1)$  above as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3(p(\mathbf{P}_2^1, 1)) &= \tau_3(123(45)(67)) = (1(2)(2)) = (1((2)^2)) \\ \tau_4(p(\mathbf{P}_2^2, 1)) &= \tau_4(1234567(89)(ab)(cd)(ef)) = (1((2)^4)) \end{aligned}$$

with the *domination* expressed, for each  $p(\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}, 1)$ , by a pair of parentheses containing the length = 1 of the pivot  $b = 1$  followed by the parenthesized lengths of the cycles it dominates. More generally, if  $v$  is of the form  $p(Q, a)$  in  $V(H_\rho)$ , then we take  $\tau_\rho(v) = (1((2)^{2^{\rho-2}}))$ .

This concept of domination will permit us to extend the initiated notion of type of an  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation. For example, the doubling provided by the embeddings  $\Psi_\rho : V(H_{\rho-1}) \rightarrow V(H_\rho)$  in Subsection 4.1 allows the expression of other types of  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations, from Subsections 4.5 on. For now, we define the type of  $I_\rho = 12 \dots (2^\rho - 1) = 12 \dots m_1$  to be  $\tau_\rho(I_\rho) = (1)$ .

The following fact is used in counting  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations and finding the diameter of  $H_\rho$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *The distance  $d(v, I_\rho)$  in  $H_\rho$  from an  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation  $v$  to the identity  $I_\rho$  is related to the cardinality of the fixed-point set  $F_v$  of  $v$  in  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  by*

$$\log_2(1 + |F_v|) + d(v, I_\rho) = \rho. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.*  $I_\rho$  has  $|F_{I_\rho}| = 2^\rho - 1 = m_1$ , so (1) holds for  $I_\rho$  because  $\log_2(1 + (2^\rho - 1)) = \rho$ . Adjacent to  $I_\rho$  are the elements of the form  $p(Q, a)$ , each of which has  $2^{\rho-1} - 1$  fixed points, so (1) holds for the vertices at distance 1 from  $I_\rho$ . Successively, the vertices at distance 2 from  $I_\rho$  have  $2^{\rho-2} - 1$  fixed points, and so on, inductively, until the  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations in  $V(H_\rho)$  have no fixed points, ( $J_\rho$  included), and are at distance  $\rho$  from  $I_\rho$ , so they satisfy (1), too.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Two-line notation for $J_\rho$

Another way to look at  $J_\rho$  is in its two-line, or relation, notation:

$$J_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\rho \\ \eta_\rho \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 123 \\ 312 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1234567 \\ 3475612 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 123456789abcdef \\ 3478bcfde9a5612 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 123456789abcdefgijklmnopqrstuv \\ 3478bcfgjknorsvtupqlmhide9a5612 \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $\rho = 2, 3, 4, 5$ , respectively. The lower levels here, that we call levels  $\eta_\rho$ , have the following pattern. Each

symbol pair in the following list  $L$ :

$$12, 34, 56, \dots, (2i-1)(2i), \dots, (2^{r-1}-3)(2^{r-1}-2),$$

is alternatively placed in the level  $\eta_\rho$ , below the  $2^{\rho-1}$  position pairs  $(2i-1)(2i)$  of contiguous points  $\neq 2^{\rho-1}$ , according to the following instructions: **(a)** place the starting pair of  $L$  in the rightmost pair of still-empty positions of  $\eta_\rho$  and erase it from  $L$ ; **(b)** place the resulting new starting pair of  $L$  in the leftmost pair of still-empty positions of  $\eta_\rho$  and erase it from  $L$ ; **(c)** repeat (a) and (b) alternatively until the point  $m_1 = 2^\rho - 1$  is left alone in  $L$ ; **(d)** place  $m_1 = 2^\rho - 1$  in the  $(2^{\rho-1} - 1)$ -th position of  $\eta_\rho$ , that still remained empty. Now,  $\eta_\rho$  looks like:

$$3478\dots(4i-1)(4i)\dots(2^{r-1}-5)(2^{r-1}-4)(2^{r-1}-1)(2^{r-1}-3)(2^{r-1}-2)\dots(4i+1)(4i+2)\dots5612.$$

and can be expressed by means of the function  $f$  defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} f(2i) &= 4i, & (i=1, \dots, 2^{\rho-2}-1); \\ f(2i-1) &= 4i-1, & (i=1, \dots, 2^{\rho-2}-1); \\ f(2^\rho-2i+1) &= 4i+2, & (i=1, \dots, 2^{\rho-2}); \\ f(2^\rho-2i) &= 4i+1, & (i=1, \dots, 2^{\rho-2}); \\ f(2^{\rho-1}-1) &= 2^\rho-1. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.5 The other types at distance $\rho$ from $I_\rho$

The leftmost  $2^{\rho-1} - 1$  symbols of  $\eta_\rho$  in Subsection 4.4 form a  $(\rho - 2)$ -subspace  $\zeta_\rho$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$ . Let  $z(j) = p(\zeta_\rho, f(j)) \in V(H_\rho)$ , with fixed-point set  $\zeta_\rho$  and pivot  $f(j) \in \zeta_\rho$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, 2^{\rho-1} - 1$ .

The products  $J_\rho.z(j)$ , ( $j = 2, 4, 6, \dots, 2^{\rho-1} - 2$ ), yielding each a permutation  $w_\rho(j) = J_\rho.z(j)$ , are at distance  $\rho$  from  $I_\rho$  and produce pairwise different new types. Also, successive powers of these permutations  $w_\rho(j)$  must be checked, in order to extract any remaining types at distance  $\rho$  from  $I_\rho$ . We exemplify these observations for  $r = 3, 4, 5$ .

First,  $w_3(2) = J_3.z(2) = (1372456).437(15)(26) = (1376524)$ , which is a 7-cycle with  $ds$ -companion cycle switched two positions to the right, that we indicate by defining type  $\tau_3(w_3(2)) = (7_2)$ . Summarizing this, we have:

$$\begin{array}{l} w_3(2) \\ ds\text{-level} \\ type \end{array} \left\| \begin{array}{l} (1376524) \\ (2413765) \\ (7_2) \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover,  $\tau_3(w_3(2)) = \tau_3((w_3(2))^2) = \dots = \tau_3((w_3(2))^6) = (7_2)$ , but  $(w_3(2))^7$  is the identity permutation, whose type is (1). So, taking powers of  $w_3(2)$  did not contribute any new types.

For  $\rho = 3$ , an extension of  $\tau_\rho$  takes place, in which the domination of a transposition by its pivot extends to the domination of a cycle by another cycle, shown parenthesized as in Subsections 4.2-3. (More examples in Subsection 4.6). A special case, present in the remaining examples of this section, is via a  $c_1$ -cycle  $C_1$  dominating a  $c_2$ -cycle  $C_2$  which in turn dominates a  $c_3$ -cycle  $C_3$ , and so on, until a  $c_x$ -cycle  $C_x$  dominates  $C_1$ , so that a *super-cycle*  $(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_x)$  appears. The type of the resulting permutation (or permutation factor) is taken as  $(c_1(c_2(c_3(\dots(c_x(y)\dots))))))$ , where  $y$ , appearing as a subindex between the innermost parentheses, is obtained by aligning  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_x$  and their respective  $ds$ -companion cycles  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_x$  so that each dominated  $ds$ -companion cycle  $D_{i+1}$  is presented in the same order as its dominating cycle  $C_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, x$ . In this disposition,  $y$  is the shift of the  $ds$ -companion cycle of  $C_1$  with respect to its dominating cycle  $C_x$ .

For example, the values of  $w_4(j)$  and the types  $\tau_4(w_4(j))$ , for  $j = 2, 4, 6$ , are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} j \\ w_4(j) \\ ds\text{-level} \\ type \end{array} \left\| \begin{array}{l} 2 \\ (5be)(2489ad)(137f6c) \\ (e5b)(6c137f)(2489ad) \\ (3_1)(6(6(0))) \end{array} \right. \left. \begin{array}{l} 4 \\ (2485b)(137fa)(cde96) \\ (6cde9)(2485b)(137fa) \\ (5(5(5(1)))) \end{array} \right. \left. \begin{array}{l} 6 \\ (137feda5b6c9248) \\ (248137feda5b6c9) \\ (15_3) \end{array} \right.$$

Powers of  $w_4(2)$  yield new types:

$$\begin{array}{l} i \\ (w_4(2))^i \\ ds\text{-level} \\ type \end{array} \left\| \begin{array}{l} 2 \\ (5eb)(28a)(49d)(176)(3fc) \\ (b5e)(a28)(d49)(617)(c3f) \\ (3_1)^5 \end{array} \right. \left. \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 5(8d)(36)b(29)(7c)e(1f)(4a) \\ 5(55)(55)b(bb)(bb)e(ee)(ee) \\ (1((2)^2))^3 \end{array} \right.$$

The type  $(3_1)^5$  here still represents a permutation at maximum distance = 4 from  $I_4$ . However, the type  $(1((2)^2))^3$  has distance 2 from  $I_4$ . Subsequent powers of these  $w_4(j)$ 's, ( $j = 2, 4, 6$ ), do not yield new types of elements of  $V(H_4)$ .

We present the  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations  $w_5(2i)$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq 6$ ), and their types:

$$\begin{array}{l} w_5(2) = (137fv6co9ju5bnmlit248gpakhqdres) \\ w_5(4) = (137fvaktesdr248glu9jihmp6co5bnq) \\ w_5(6) = (137fves9jmtakp248ghilq5bnudr6co) \\ w_5(8) = (137fvi9jak5bn248gdrqpuehsl6cotm) \\ w_5(10) = (137fvm5bn6copqtidrul248g9jeshak) \\ w_5(12) = (137fvqh6colestupm9j248g5bnakdri) \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \tau_5(w_5(4)) = (31_{19}); \\ \tau_5(w_5(2)) = (31_{13}); \\ \tau_5(w_5(8)) = (31_{18}); \\ \tau_5(w_5(6)) = (31_{17}); \\ \tau_5(w_5(12)) = (31_{12}); \\ \tau_5(w_5(10)) = (31_{11}); \end{array} \right.$$

no new types are obtained from these  $w_5(2i)$ 's by considering their powers.

#### 4.6 Types at distances $< \rho$ from $I_\rho$

We notice that: **(a)** for  $j = 1, 3, \dots, 2^{\rho-1} - 1$ , the elements  $w_\rho(j) = J_\rho.z(j)$  of  $V(H_\rho)$  are at distance  $\rho - 1$  from  $I_\rho$  and provide pairwise different new types; **(b)** if successive powers of these  $w_\rho(j)$ 's are taken, they must be at distances  $< \rho - 1$  from  $I_\rho$  and may provide new types of  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations. We exemplify these observations for  $\rho = 3, 4, 5$ . First, we have:

$$\begin{array}{l} j \\ w_3(j) \\ ds\text{-level} \\ type \end{array} \left\| \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 5(246)(137) \\ 5(624)(246) \\ (3_1(3)) \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 6(24)(1375) \\ 6(66)(2424) \\ (1(2(4))) \end{array}$$

The square of  $w_3(1)$  still preserves its type. However,  $(w_3(3))^2 = 624(17)(35) = p(624, 6)$ . Thus,  $\tau_3((w_3(3))^2) = (1((2)^2))$ . Also, it can be seen that  $w_4(2i + 1)$  has types

$$(1(2(4((4)^2))))), \quad (7_3(7)), \quad (7_5(7)), \quad (1(2))(3_1((3)(6))),$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , respectively. By taking the squares of these permutations, we get that  $(w_4(3))^2$  and  $(w_4(5))^2$  preserve the respective types of  $w_4(3)$  and  $w_4(5)$ , while it is seen that the types of  $w_4(1)$  and  $w_4(7)$  are

$$(1((2)^2))^3, \quad (3_1((3)^3)),$$

respectively, the first one of which was seen in Subsection 4.5. Finally, it can be seen that  $w_5(2i + 1)$  has types

$$\begin{array}{l} (5((5)(5(5)(5(5)(1))))), \quad (1(2))(7_4(7(14))), \quad (1(2(4)))(3(3(6(12))))), \quad (15_3(15)), \\ (1(2))(7_2(7(14))), \quad (3(3))(6((6)(6(6)))), \quad (15_{11}(15)), \quad (1(2(4(8)4(8))), \end{array}$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, 7$ , respectively.

#### 4.7 A set of $V(H_{\rho-1})$ -coset representatives in $V(H_\rho)$

The objective of this subsection is to establish a set of representatives of the cosets of  $V(H_\rho) \bmod V(H_{\rho-1})$ , which we do here for  $\rho \leq 5$  and conjecture for  $\rho > 5$ . First, we define a type  $\tau'_\rho = \tau_\rho(v)$  of certain vertices  $v \in V(H_\rho)$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} \tau'_2 = (1(2)), \\ \tau'_3 = (1(2(4))), \\ \tau'_4 = (1(2(4((4)^2))), \\ \tau'_5 = (1(2(4((4(8))^2))), \\ \tau'_{3s-1} = (1(2(\dots(2^{2s-1})\dots))), \\ \tau'_{3s} = (1(2(\dots(2^{2s-1}(2^{2s}))\dots))), \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \tau'_6 = (1(2(4((4(8(16))^2))))), \\ \tau'_7 = (1(2(4((4(8(16(16))^2))))), \\ \tau'_8 = (1(2(4((4(8(16(16(32))^2))))), \\ \tau'_9 = (1(2(4((4(8(16(16(32(64))^2))))), \\ \dots = \dots \\ \tau'_{3s+1} = (1(2(\dots(2^{2s-1}(2^{2s}((2^{2s})^2))\dots))), \\ \tau'_{3s+2} = (1(2(\dots(2^{2s-1}(2^{2s}((2^{2s}(2^{2s+1}))^2))\dots))), \end{array} \right.$$

for any  $s > 0$ . The claimed representatives of cosets of  $V(H_\rho) \bmod V(H_{\rho-1})$  are set as follows, in 5 different categories (a)-(e), where each category (b) and (d) admits two subcategories subindexed  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $(Q, a)$ -permutations  $p(Q, a)$  are as in Subsection 4.1:

(a) the identity permutation  $I_\rho$ ;

( $b_\alpha$ ) the permutations  $p(\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}, a)$ , where  $a \in \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}$ ; e.g.

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 123(45)(67) \\ 231(46)(57) \\ 312(47)(56) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 1234567(89)(ab)(cd)(ef) \\ 2134567(8a)(9b)(ce)(df) \\ 3124567(8b)(9a)(cf)(de) \\ 4123567(8c)(9d)(ad)(bf) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \left| \begin{array}{l} 5123467(8d)(9c)(af)(be) \\ 6123457(8e)(9f)(ac)(bd) \\ 7123456(8f)(9e)(ad)(bc) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\|$$

( $b_\beta$ ) those  $p(Q, a)$ 's for which  $Q$  is a  $(\rho - 2)$ -subspace containing  $a = m_1 = 2^\rho - 1$ ; e.g.

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 716(25)(34) \\ 725(16)(34) \\ 734(16)(25) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} f123cde(4b)(5a)(69)(78) \\ f145abe(2d)(3c)(69)(78) \\ f16789e(2d)(3c)(4b)(5a) \\ f2469bd(1e)(3c)(5a)(78) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \left| \begin{array}{l} f2578ad(1e)(3c)(4b)(69) \\ f3478bc(1e)(2d)(5a)(69) \\ f3569ac(1e)(2d)(4b)(78) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\|$$

(c) those  $p(Q, a)$ 's for which  $Q \subset \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  is a  $(\rho - 2)$ -subspace containing  $a = m_1 - x$ , with  $x \in \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}$ ; e.g.

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 415(26)(37) \\ 514(27)(36) \\ 624(17)(35) \\ 426(15)(37) \\ 536(14)(27) \\ 635(17)(24) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 81239ab(4c)(5d)(6e)(7f) \\ 91238ab(4d)(5c)(6f)(7e) \\ a12389b(4e)(5f)(6c)(7d) \\ b12389a(4f)(5e)(6d)(7c) \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \left| \begin{array}{l} 81459cd(2a)(3b)(6e)(7f) \\ 91458cd(2b)(3a)(6f)(7e) \\ c14589d(2e)(3f)(6a)(7b) \\ d14589c(2f)(3e)(6b)(7a) \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\|$$

( $d_\alpha$ ) an  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation  $\alpha_\rho$  of type  $\tau'_\rho$  selected as follows for each  $(\rho - 3)$ -subspace  $X_\rho$  of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}$  and each  $x_\rho \in \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2} \setminus \bar{X}_\rho$ , ( $\bar{X}_\rho = \{m_1 - x_3 : x_\rho \in X_\rho\}$ ): take the fixed point of  $\alpha_\rho$  as the smallest point in  $\bar{X}_\rho$ ; take the 2-cycle of  $\alpha_\rho$ , with  $(m_1 - x_\rho)$  as  $ds$ , containing  $(m_1 - x_\rho)$  and dominating a 4-cycle containing  $m_1$ ; subsequent pairs, quadruples,  $\dots 2^s$ -tuples  $\dots$  of intervening 4-cycles, 8-cycles,  $\dots, 2^{s+1}$ -cycles,  $\dots$ , respectively, should have the first  $2^{s+1}$ -cycle ending with the smallest available point of  $X_\rho$ , for  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ ; e.g.

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} X_3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \bar{X}_3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 6 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} x_3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 4 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha_3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 6(42)(7315) \\ 6(53)(7214) \\ 5(41)(7326) \\ 5(63)(7124) \\ 4(51)(7236) \\ 4(62)(7135) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} X_4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 123 \\ edc \\ 123 \\ 123 \\ 123 \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \bar{X}_4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} edc \\ 123 \\ edc \\ edc \\ eba \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} x_4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 8 \\ 9 \\ a \\ b \\ 8 \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \alpha_4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} c(84)(f73b)(a521)(69ed) \\ c(95)(f63a)(b421)(78ed) \\ c(a6)(f539)(8721)(4bed) \\ c(b7)(f438)(9621)(5aed) \\ a(82)(f75d)(c341)(69eb) \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\|$$

( $d_\beta$ ) the inverse permutations of the  $\alpha_\rho$ 's from item  $d_\alpha$ ;

(e) a total of  $(2^{\rho-1} - 1)(2^{\rho-2} - 1)$   $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations  $\xi$  of type  $\tau'_\rho$  with: fixed point  $\in \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}$ ; 2-cycle containing  $m_1$ ; leftmost dominating 4-cycle  $\eta$  starting: at the smallest available point, for  $2^{\rho-3}$  of these  $\xi$ 's, if  $\rho \geq 3$ ; at the next smallest available point, for  $2^{\rho-4}$  of the remaining  $\xi$ 's, not yet used in the  $\eta$ 's, if  $\rho \geq 4$ , etc.; remaining dominated 4-cycles, 8-cycles, etc., if applicable, varying with the next available smallest points; e.g.

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=3 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 1(76)(2435) \\ 2(75)(1436) \\ 3(74)(1526) \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \rho=4 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} 1(fe)(2d3c)(46b8)(57a9) \\ 1(fe)(2d3c)(649a)(758b) \\ 1(fe)(4b5a)(26d8)(37c9) \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{c} \left| \begin{array}{l} 2(fd)(1e3c)(45b8)(679a) \\ 2(fd)(1e3c)(54a9)(768b) \\ 2(fd)(4b69)(15e8)(37ca) \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\|$$

The representatives of the cosets of  $V(H_\rho) \bmod V(H_{\rho-1})$  presented above will be called the *selected coset representatives* of  $V(H_\rho)$ .

**Theorem 4.2** *The  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations in a fixed category  $x \in \{(a), \dots, (e)\}$  are in 1-1 correspondence with the cosets of  $V(H_\rho)$  they determine mod  $V(H_{\rho-1})$ , at least for  $\rho \leq 5$ . Thus, they can effectively be referred without confusion as the selected coset representatives of  $V(H_\rho)$ . Moreover, any such coset has the same number  $N_\rho(x)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations in each type  $\tau_\rho$ . Thus, the distribution of types in a coset of  $V(H_\rho) \bmod V(H_{\rho-1})$  generated by an  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation in  $x$  depends solely on  $x$ .*

*Proof.* The selection of the 5 categories (a)-(e) is effective for producing specific representatives of distinct classes of  $V(H_\rho) \bmod V(H_{\rho-1})$ , because the symbol  $m_1 = 2^\rho - 1$  is placed once in each strategic position, while setting the remaining entries and difference symbols to yield tightly different situations, and yet covering each coset just once. On the other hand, the representatives in each category are equivalent with respect to the structure of the cosets of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1} \bmod \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-2}$  that yields the classes of  $V(H_\rho) \bmod V(H_{\rho-1})$ . Thus, each of these cosets has the same number of representatives, in particular in each type  $\tau_\rho$ .  $\square$

## 4.8 Order and diameter of $G_r^\sigma$ via simplified types

The *simplified type*  $\gamma_\rho(v)$  of an  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation  $v$  of  $V(H_\rho)$  is defined by writing from left to right the parenthesized cycle lengths of  $\tau_\rho(v)$  in non-decreasing order, (no dominating parentheses or subindices now), with the cycle-length multiplicities  $\mu > 1$  expressed via external superscripts. This will allow us to write the cycle lengths of the simplified types  $\gamma'_\rho = \gamma_\rho(v)$  corresponding to the types  $\tau'_\rho = \tau_\rho(v)$  of Subsection 4.7 as products of prime powers between parentheses that distinguish the resulting exponents from the external multiplicity superscripts. For the identity permutation, we agree that  $\gamma_\rho(I_\rho) = \gamma_\rho(1 \dots (2^\rho - 1)) = \gamma_\rho(1 \dots m_1) = (1)$ .

We present tables that exemplify the assertion of Theorem 4.2 by means of simplified types, for  $\rho = 2, 3, 4, 5$ :

	$D$	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	$\Sigma_{row}$
$\gamma_2$	$N_2(x)$	1	2	–	–	–	3
(1)	0	1	–	–	–	–	1
(2)	1	1	1	–	–	–	3
(3)	2	–	1	–	–	–	2
	$\Sigma_{col}$	2	2	–	–	–	6
$\gamma_3$	$N_3(x)$	1	6	6	12	3	28
(1)	0	1	–	–	–	–	1
(2) <sup>2</sup>	1	3	2	1	–	–	21
(3) <sup>2</sup>	2	2	2	1	3	–	56
(2)(4)	2	–	2	2	1	2	42
(7)	3	–	–	2	2	4	48
	$\Sigma_{col}$	6	6	6	6	6	168
$\gamma_4$	$N_4(x)$	1	14	28	56	21	120
(1)	0	1	–	–	–	–	1
(2) <sup>4</sup>	1	21	4	1	–	–	105
(2) <sup>6</sup>	2	–	6	3	–	2	210
(2) <sup>2</sup> (4) <sup>2</sup>	2	42	30	12	6	6	1260
(3) <sup>4</sup>	2	56	24	6	10	–	1120
(2)(4) <sup>3</sup>	3	–	24	24	18	24	2520
(2)(3) <sup>2</sup> (6)	3	–	32	26	30	24	3360
(7) <sup>2</sup>	3	48	48	48	48	48	5760
(3)(6) <sup>2</sup>	4	–	–	12	18	16	1680
(5) <sup>3</sup>	4	–	–	12	12	16	1344
(15)	4	–	–	24	24	32	2688
(3) <sup>5</sup>	4	–	–	–	2	–	112
	$\Sigma_{col}$	168	168	168	168	168	20160
$\gamma_5$	$N_5(x)$	1	30	120	240	105	496
(1)	0	1	–	–	–	–	1
(2) <sup>8</sup>	1	105	8	1	–	–	465
(2) <sup>12</sup>	2	210	84	21	–	12	6510
(2) <sup>4</sup> (4) <sup>4</sup>	2	1260	308	56	28	20	26040
(3) <sup>8</sup>	2	1120	224	28	36	–	19840
(2) <sup>2</sup> (4) <sup>6</sup>	3	2520	1848	672	504	504	312480
(2) <sup>2</sup> (3) <sup>4</sup> (6) <sup>2</sup>	3	3360	2464	812	756	756	416640
(7) <sup>4</sup>	3	5760	2688	896	896	640	476160
(2) <sup>6</sup> (4) <sup>4</sup>	3	–	504	210	84	168	78120
(3) <sup>2</sup> (6) <sup>4</sup>	4	1680	1680	1512	1848	1488	833280
(5) <sup>6</sup>	4	1344	1344	1344	1344	1344	666624
(15) <sup>2</sup>	4	2688	2688	2688	2688	2688	1333248
(3) <sup>10</sup>	4	112	112	56	168	48	55552
(2)(7) <sup>2</sup> (14)	4	–	3072	3072	2688	3072	1428480
(2)(4) <sup>3</sup> (8) <sup>2</sup>	4	–	1344	1344	1176	1344	624960
(2)(3) <sup>2</sup> (4)(6)(12)	4	–	1792	1624	1736	1600	833280
(3)(7)(21)	5	–	–	1792	2176	2048	952320
(31)	5	–	–	4032	4032	4608	1935360
	$\Sigma_{col}$	20160	20160	20160	20160	20160	9999360

In those tables, the header row indicates: first, a column citing the different existing simplified types  $\gamma_\rho$ ; second, a column for the common distance  $D$  of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations of each of these  $\gamma_\rho$ 's to  $I_\rho$ , according to Theorem 4.1; then, a column for each  $x \in \{(a), \dots, (e)\}$ ; and finally, a column  $\Sigma_{row}$  to be explained below; the second, auxiliary, row indicates the number  $N_\rho(x)$  of cosets (as in Theorem 4.2) in each category  $x$ ; each remaining row, but for the last one, contains, in column  $x$ , the number of selected coset representatives of

$V(H_\rho)$  in  $x$  with a specific simplified type  $\gamma_\rho$ , so it is denoted  $row_{\gamma_\rho}$ ; the final column  $\Sigma_{row}$  contains in  $row_{\gamma_\rho}$  the scalar product of the 5-vectors

$$(row_{\gamma_\rho}(a), row_{\gamma_\rho}(b), row_{\gamma_\rho}(c), row_{\gamma_\rho}(d), row_{\gamma_\rho}(e)) \quad \text{and} \quad (N_\rho(a), N_\rho(b), N_\rho(c), N_\rho(d), N_\rho(e));$$

the sum of the values of column  $\Sigma_{row}$  yields the order of  $H_\rho$ , placed in the lower-right corner.

The doubling provided by the embeddings  $\Psi_\rho : V(H_\rho) \rightarrow V(H_\rho)$  of Subsection 4.1 happens in several places in these tables. If we indicate by  $\psi_\rho$  the map induced by  $\Psi_\rho$  at the level of simplified types, then we have:  $\psi_3((2)) = (2)^2$ ,  $\psi_3((3)) = (3)^2$ , etc. In fact, all the simplified types of  $V(H_\rho)$  appear squared in  $V(H_\rho)$ .

The  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations of type  $\tau'_\rho$  yield simplified types  $\gamma'_\rho$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll|ll} \gamma'_2 & = (2), & \gamma'_6 & = (2)(4)^3(8)^2(16)^2, \\ \gamma'_3 & = (2)(4), & \gamma'_7 & = (2)(4)^3(8)^2(16)^6, \\ \gamma'_4 & = (2)(4)^3, & \gamma'_8 & = (2)(4)^3(8)^2(16)^6(32)^4, \\ \gamma'_5 & = (2)(4)^3(8)^2, & \gamma'_9 & = (2)(4)^3(8)^2(16)^6(32)^4(64)^4, \\ & & \dots & = \dots \\ \gamma'_{s+1} & = (\gamma'_s)(2^{2s})^s, & \gamma'_{s+3} & = (\gamma'_s)(2^{2s})^{3s}(2^{2s+1})^{2s}, \\ \gamma'_{s+2} & = (\gamma'_s)(2^{2s})^{3s}, & \gamma'_{s+4} & = (\gamma'_s)(2^{2s})^{3s}(2^{2s+1})^{2s}(2^{2s+2})^{2s}, \end{array}$$

for  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $V_\rho = \prod_{i=1}^{\rho-2} (2^{i-1}(2^i - 1))$  and let  $N'_\rho(x)$  be the number of selected coset representatives of  $V(H_\rho)$  with simplified type  $\gamma'_\rho$  in category  $x \in \{(a), \dots, (e)\}$ . Then, for  $2 < \rho$  and at least for  $\rho \leq 5$ , it holds that: **1.**  $N'_\rho(a) = 0$ ; **2.**  $N'_\rho(b) = N'_\rho(c) = N'_\rho(e) = 2^{\rho-2}V_\rho$ ; **3.**  $N'_\rho(d) = (2^{\rho-2} - 1)V_\rho$ .*

*Proof.* The corollary follows by inductively counting the selected coset representatives of  $V(H_\rho)$  with simplified type  $\gamma'_\rho$  in categories (a)-(e), starting from its values in the given tables, for  $\rho = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$   $\square$

**Corollary 4.4** *Categories (a)-(e) are composed by the selected pairwise disjoint coset representatives of  $V(H_\rho)$ , which yields a partition of  $V(H_\rho) \pmod{V(H_{\rho-1})}$ , at least for  $\rho \leq 5$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\rho > 2$ , the corollary follows from Theorem 4.2 with distribution as in Theorem 4.3 for the vertices of type  $\tau'_\rho$ , or simplified type  $\gamma'_\rho$ . The corollary also holds for  $\rho = 2$ .

The statement can be checked out alternatively by means of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -permutation  $(J_{\rho-1})^2$  (obtained via the doubling of  $J_{\rho-1}$  in  $V(H_\rho)$ , Subsection 4.1) and the coset representatives of  $V(H_\rho)$  selected with the type of  $(J_{\rho-1})^2$ , yielding alternative simplified types  $\gamma''_2 = (3)^2$ ,  $\gamma''_3 = (7)^2$ ,  $\gamma''_4 = (15)^2$ ,  $\gamma''_5 = ((3)(7)(21))^2$ , ... In this case, by defining  $N''_\rho(x)$  as  $N'_\rho(x)$  was in Theorem 4.3, but with  $\gamma''_\rho$  instead of  $\gamma'_\rho$ , we get uniformly that  $N''_\rho(x) = 2^{\rho-2}V_\rho$ , where  $x \in \{(a), \dots, (e)\}$ . This covers all the classes of  $V(H_\rho) \pmod{V(H_{\rho-1})}$  and again implies the statement.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5** *With the notation of Theorem 4.3,  $|V(H_\rho)| = V_{\rho+2}$  at least for  $\rho \leq 5$ . Moreover, the following properties of the graphs  $G_r^\sigma$  hold for  $\sigma \geq 1$ ,  $\rho \geq 2$  and at least for  $\rho \leq 5$ :*

- (A)  $|V(G_r^\sigma)|$  is as asserted in Remark c of Section 2;
- (B)  $G_r^\sigma$  is  $sm_1(t-1)$ -regular;
- (C) The diameter of  $G_r^\sigma$  is  $\leq 2r - 2$ .

*Thus, order, degree and diameter of  $G_r^\sigma$  are respectively:  $O(2^{(r-1)^2})$ ,  $O(2^{r-1})$  and  $O(r-1)$ .*

*Proof.* Item (C) is a corollary of Theorem 4.1. Item (B) can be deduced from the definition of  $G_r^\sigma$ . Recall that  $N_\rho(x)$  is the number of cosets (as in Theorem 4.2) in each category  $x \in \{(a), \dots, (e)\}$ . Counting cosets obtained via doubling (Subsection 4.1) in each category shows that at least for  $\rho \leq 5$ : (a)  $N_\rho(a) = 1$ ; (b)  $N_\rho(b) = 2(2^{\rho-1} - 1)$ ; (c)  $N_\rho(c) = 2^{\rho-2}(2^{\rho-1} - 1)$ ; (d)  $N_\rho(d) = 2N_\rho(c)$ ; (e)  $N_\rho(e) = (2^{\rho-2} - 1)(2^{\rho-1} - 1)$ . Each coset in these categories contains exactly  $|V(H_{\rho-1})|$   $\mathcal{A}$ -permutations. Thus,  $|V(H_\rho)| = V_{\rho+2}$ . Since  $G_r^\sigma$  is the disjoint union of  $\binom{r}{\sigma}_2$  copies of  $T_{ts,t}$ , item (A) follows. Finally, we would get that  $|V(G_r^\sigma)| = O(2^{(r-1)^2})$ , since  $(2^r - 1) \leq \binom{r}{\sigma}_2$  and  $|V(G_r^1)| = (2^r - 1) \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} (2^{i-1}(2^i - 1)) = O(2^{r-1} 4^{1+2+3+\dots+(r-2)}) = O(2^{r-1+(r-2)(r-1)})$ , which is  $O(2^{(r-1)^2})$ .  $\square$

## 5 $\vec{C}$ -homogeneity of $G_r^\sigma$

**Theorem 5.1**  $G_r^\sigma$  is a connected  $m_1 s(t-1)$ -regular homogeneous  $\{\vec{T}_{ts,t}\}_{\ell_1}^{m_1} \{\vec{K}_{2s}\}_{\ell_2}^{m_2}$ -graph which is not ultrahomogeneous unless  $(r, \sigma) = (3, 1)$ , at least for  $r \leq 8$  and  $\rho \leq 5$ . Moreover,  $G_r^\sigma = \mathcal{G}_r^\sigma$  if and only if  $\sigma = r - 2$ ; in this case,  $G_{\sigma+2}^\sigma$  is  $\{K_4\}$ -ultrahomogeneous. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma) = \mathcal{N}_r^\sigma \times_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\rho$  with  $\lambda : \mathcal{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma)$  given by  $\lambda(h_w) = (k \mapsto h_w^{-1} k h_w : k \in \mathcal{N}_r^\sigma)$ , with  $|\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma|$  as in Theorem 3.1 and  $|\mathcal{H}_\rho| = |V(H_\rho)| = V_{\rho+2}$ , as in Theorem 4.5.

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be an element of  $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$ . Then,  $\tau$  transforms  $e_r^\sigma = (v_r^\sigma, u_r^\sigma)$  into an arc of  $G_r^\sigma$ . By Section 3 and Subsection 4.1,  $\tau$  can be presented as  $\tau = \psi^\tau \cdot \phi^\tau = \phi^\tau \cdot \psi^\tau$ , where  $\phi^\tau$  is a permutation of affine  $\sigma$ -subspaces of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$  and  $\psi^\tau$  is a permutation of the non-initial entries of ordered pencils that are vertices of  $G_r^\sigma$ , e.g. a permutation of the indices  $k$  of entries  $A_k$  of such ordered pencils, where  $0 < k \leq m_1 = 2^\rho - 1$ .

The subgroup of  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma = \mathcal{A}(N_{G_r^\sigma}(v_r^\sigma))$  fixing the lexicographically smallest neighbor  $u_r^\sigma$  of  $v_r^\sigma$  is formed by the automorphisms  $\tau$  in item **(A)** of Section 3 with the point  $\pi \in \mathbf{P}_2^{\rho-1}$  taken as the third lexicographically smallest such point ( $= 3 \times 2^{\sigma-1}$ ). These automorphisms constitute a subgroup of  $\mathcal{N}_r^\sigma$  with  $2^{\rho-1}$  elements.

For any two induced copies  $X_1, X_2$  of  $T_{ts,t}$  (resp.  $T_{2k}$ ) in  $G_r^\sigma$ , and arcs  $v_1 w_1, v_2 w_2$  of  $X_1, X_2$ , respectively, there exist automorphisms  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  of  $G_r^\sigma$  such that  $\Phi_i(v_r^\sigma) = v_i$  and  $\Phi_i(u_r^\sigma) = w_i$ , sending  $N_{G_r^\sigma}(v_i) \cap X_i$  onto  $N_{G_r^\sigma}(v_r^\sigma) \cap X$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $X$  is the lexicographically smallest copy of  $T_{ts,t}$  (resp.  $T_{2k}$ ) in  $G_r^\sigma$ , namely  $[(\mathbf{P}_2^\sigma)_1]_r^\sigma$  (resp.  $[U]_r^\sigma$ , where  $U$  is the third lexicographically smallest  $(r-1, \sigma-1)$ -ordered pencil of  $\mathbf{P}_2^{r-1}$ , which shares with  $[\mathbf{P}_2 \sigma_1]_r^\sigma$  just  $u_r^\sigma$ ). As a result, the composition  $\Phi_2 \cdot \Phi_1^{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(G_r^\sigma)$  takes  $X_1$  onto  $X_2$ , and  $v_1 w_1$  onto  $v_2 w_2$ . This implies that  $G_r^\sigma$  is a homogeneous  $\{\vec{T}_{ts,t}\}_{\ell_1}^{m_1} \{\vec{K}_{2s}\}_{\ell_2}^{m_2}$ -graph.

Recall from [3] that  $G_3^1$  is  $\{K_4, K_{2,2,2}\}$ -ultrahomogeneous. Whenever  $\rho = 2$ , it holds that  $\mathcal{G}_r^\sigma = G_r^\sigma$ , and this is  $K_4$ -ultrahomogeneous by an argument similar to that of [3]. From the remarks in Section 2, it can be seen that, for  $(r, \sigma) \neq (3, 1)$ , there are automorphisms of the copy of  $T_{ts,t}$  in  $G_r^\sigma$  that contains the edge  $e_r^\sigma = v_r^\sigma u_r^\sigma$ , even fixing  $v_r^\sigma$  and  $u_r^\sigma$ , but they cannot be extended to any automorphism of  $G_r^\sigma$ . A similar conclusion holds for  $K_{2s}$ , provided  $\sigma \neq r - 2$ , for which  $K_{2s} = K_4$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** It can be seen that  $G_r^\sigma$  is the Menger graph [2] of a  $(|V(G_r^\sigma)|_{m_2}, (l_2)_{2s})$  configuration whose points and lines are the vertices and the copies of  $K_{2s}$  in  $G_r^\sigma$ , respectively. For example,  $G_4^2$  is the Menger graph of a  $(210_{12}, 630_4)$  configuration. However, if  $\sigma = 1$  then  $|V(G_r^\sigma)|_{m_2} = (l_2)_{2s}$ , the said configuration is self-dual and the Menger graph coincides with the corresponding dual Menger graph, as is the case of  $G_3^1$ , presented in [3].

**Conjecture 5.2** *The results of Theorems 3.1, 4.2-4.5 and 5.1 hold for  $r > 8$  and  $\rho > 5$ .*

## References

- [1] P. J. Cameron, *6-transitive graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **28**(1980), 168-179.
- [2] H.S.M. Coxeter, *Self-dual configurations and regular graphs*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **56** (1950) 413-455.
- [3] I. J. Dejter, *On a  $\{K_4, K_{2,2,2}\}$ -ultrahomogeneous graph*, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, **44** (2009), 63-75.
- [4] A. Gardiner, *Homogeneous graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory (B), **20** (1976), 94-102.
- [5] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [6] Ja. Ju. Gol'fand and M. H. Klin, *On  $k$ -homogeneous graphs*, Algorithmic studies in combinatorics (Russian), bf 186(1978), 76-85.
- [7] D. C. Isaksen, C. Jankowski and S. Proctor, *On  $K_*$ -ultrahomogeneous graphs*, Ars Combinatoria, Volume LXXXII, (2007), 83-96.
- [8] C. Ronse, *On homogeneous graphs*, J. London Math. Soc., **s2-17**, (1978), 375-379.
- [9] J. Sheehan, *Smoothly embeddable subgraphs*, J. London Math. Soc., **s2-9** (1974), 212-218.